

REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

Claims 34-38 and 40-41 are pending. Claim 34 is independent.

The drawings and the specification were rejected for various informalities. Specifically, element 25 has been added to Fig. 10, element 192 has been added to Figs. 25 and 26 and element 211 has been deleted from Fig. 36 as shown in the proposed drawing corrections to those Figures.


Claims 34-36 were rejected as being anticipated by Brown. Claims 34 and 38 were rejected as being obvious over Brown in view of Panescu. Claims 34, 37 and 40 were rejected as being obvious over Brown in view of Swanson. Claim 41 was rejected as being obvious over Brown in view of Swanson and further in view of Ben Hain.

Applicant appreciates the indication that claim 39 would be allowed if rewritten into independent form. Claim 34 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 39 thereby placing all claims in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 415-412-3322.

Respectfully submitted,

October 28, 2004
Date


Jens E. Hoekendijk
Reg. No. 37,149

Jens E. Hoekendijk
P.O. Box 4787
Burlingame, CA 94011-4787
Tel.: 415-412-3322
Fax: 650-871-7688